ORIGINAL PAPER

A genetic map of *Lophopyrum ponticum* chromosome 7E, harboring resistance genes to Fusarium head blight and leaf rust

Xiuli Zhang · Xiaorong Shen · Yuanfeng Hao · Jinjin Cai · Herbert W. Ohm · Lingrang Kong

Received: 26 May 2010/Accepted: 25 August 2010/Published online: 10 September 2010 © Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract The leaf rust resistance gene Lr19 and Fusarium head blight (FHB) resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL) derived from the wild wheatgrass Lophopyrum ponticum have been located on chromosome 7E. The main objectives of the present study were to develop a genetic map of chromosome 7E and map the two resistance loci using a population of 237 F_{7:8} recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between two Thatcher-L. ponticum substitution lines, K11463 (7el₁(7D)) and K2620 (7el₂(7D)). 532 G-SSR, E-SSR and STS markers from wheat chromosome group 7 were screened in the parent lines. Of these, 118 markers were polymorphic, with a polymorphism frequency of 22.2%. A genetic map of L. ponticum chromosome 7E was constructed with 64 markers, covering 95.76 cM, with an average genetic distance of 1.47 cM between markers. The major FHB resistance locus, temporarily assigned as FhbLoP, was mapped to the very distal region of the long arm of chromosome 7E within a 3.71 cM interval flanked by Xcfa2240 and Xswes19, which accounts for 30.46% of the phenotypic variance. Lr19 was bracketed by Xwmc273 and XBE404744, with a map distance of 1.54 and 1.43 cM from either side, respectively. The closely linked markers identified in this study will be helpful for marker-assisted

Communicated by P. Langridge.

X. Shen · H. W. Ohm
Department of Agronomy, Purdue University,
915 W. State St., West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

introgression of the *L. ponticum*-derived *FhbLoP* and *Lr19* genes into elite cultivars of wheat, and the development of a genetic map will accelerate the map-based cloning of these two genes.

Introduction

Lophopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Löve [syn Thinopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Barkw. and D. R. Dewey, syn Elytrigia pontica (Podp.) Holub. and syn Agropyron elongatum (Host) Beau.], a tertiary gene pool for carrying potentially favorable traits, have been extensively used in wheat improvement (Oliver et al. 2006). Many agronomically important genes, including Lr19 (Prins et al. 1997), Yp (Knott 1980), Sr25 (Singh et al. 2006), Qfhs.pur-7EL (Shen et al. 2004; Shen and Ohm 2007), and genes controlling yield and biomass (Reynolds et al. 2001; Monneveux et al. 2003), have been identified on the long arm of chromosome 7E of L. ponticum. The utilization of exotic germplasm has so far been impeded by the complexity of the L. ponticum genome (Chen et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1996). Chromosomes from some cereals have remained well conserved during speciation, whereas others have undergone major structural rearrangements (Gale and Devos 1998; Sorrells et al. 2003). However, it is feasible to map L. ponticum chromosome 7E using markers developed earlier in wheat.

Fusarium head blight (FHB), also known as scab, is caused mainly by *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe [telomorph: *Gibberella* zeae Schw. (Petch)] and is a devastating disease of wheat and barley that occurs in warm and humid regions around the world. The development of FHBresistant cultivars is generally accepted to be the most costeffective and environmentally benign way to minimize this infection (Parry et al. 1995). However, breeding of resistant

<sup>X. Zhang · Y. Hao · J. Cai · L. Kong (⊠)
State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology,
Shandong Agricultural University,
61 Daizong Avenue, Taian 271018, China e-mail: lkong@sdau.edu.cn</sup>

cultivars is difficult given the complex polygenic inheritance of FHB resistance, the large environmental effects on FHB disease expression (Bai and Shaner 1994) and the poor agronomic characteristics of resistant wheat germplasm (Mesterhazy 1995). Until now, no resistant source that can offer complete wheat resistance to FHB has been identified (Buerstmayr et al. 2003), although numerous sources of resistance have been reported (Cuthbert et al. 2006, 2007; Qi et al. 2008). A number of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) conferring FHB resistance have been identified on all wheat chromosomes except chromosome 7D (Buerstmayr et al. 2009). Shen et al. (2004) identified the resistant QTL of FhbLoP (syn. Qfhs.pur-7EL) in one Thatcher-L. ponticum substitution line, K2620 (7el₂(7D)), and later mapped it to the distal region of the long arm of chromosome 7el₂ (Shen and Ohm 2007). However, there was a 10.4 cM interval between the identified flanking markers. To facilitate marker-assisted selection (MAS) and map-based cloning of the OTL of *FhbLoP*, delimiting the QTL to a shorter interval is crucial. Fortunately, the extensive synteny and collinearity between homoeologous linkage groups of the Triticeae have made it possible to construct a high-density molecular map of the target QTL.

Wheat leaf rust, known as brown rust, is a serious fungal disease affecting wheat that is mainly caused by the rust fungus Puccinia triticina Eriks. It is the most prevalent of wheat rust diseases, occurring in nearly all wheat-growing areas. The leaf rust resistance gene Lr19, derived from chromosome 7el₁ of *L. ponticum*, has provided effective resistance against all or most pathotypes of leaf rust in many parts of the world (McCallum and Seto-Goh 2006; Gennaro et al. 2009). To date, several markers associated with Lr19 have been identified (Autrique et al. 1995; Prins et al. 2001; Gupta et al. 2006; Ayala-Navarrete et al. 2007). However, sources used for mapping in previous studies were mostly wheat-L. ponticum translocation lines $(7DS.7el_1)$ carrying *Lr19* in different wheat backgrounds. Thus, recombinant events seldom occurred between the two pairing chromosomes of wheat chromosome 7D and L. ponticum chromosome 7el₁, limiting estimation of the genetic map distances around the target gene Lr19. As a result, these markers are not always useful for determining the presence of Lr19 in different wheat lines.

To exploit the desirable resistance genes on chromosome 7E and utilize these genes in wheat breeding, it is necessary to develop a high-density map of the region. It has been reported that $7el_1$ and $7el_2$ pair quite regularly and are almost completely homologous (Dvorak 1975; Knott et al. 1977; Kim et al. 1993). A mapping population of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) was developed at Purdue University by single-seed descent from a cross between two Thatcher-*L. ponticum* substitution lines K11463 (7el₁(7D)), carrying *Lr19*, and K2620 (7el₂(7D)), conferring *FhbLoP* (Shen and Ohm 2007). In this study, we constructed the first genetic map of *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E, and finely mapped *FhbLoP* and *Lr19*. This new map will facilitate MAS in wheat breeding programs and map-based cloning of the two genes.

Materials and methods

Mapping population

Thatcher-*L. ponticum* substitution lines, K11463 (7el₁(7D)) and K2620 (7el₂(7D)) were used as parents to develop a population of recombinant inbred lines (RILs). The details for the RIL population development have been described previously by Shen and Ohm (2007). 237 $F_{7:8}$ RILs were available for the mapping of *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E and evaluation of FHB and leaf rust resistance in Taian, China. The Robertsonian translocation line KS24-2 (7DS.7el₂L) was used, together with the two parents, to determine the approximate location of the centromere of chromosome 7E.

Genomic DNA extraction and marker analysis

DNA samples were prepared from parents and $F_{7:8}$ seedlings of each RIL according to previously described protocols (Kong et al. 2008). PCR amplification was performed using 20 µl total volume of 1× PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTP, 0.4 µM each of forward and reverse primers, 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase and 50 ng of template DNA. The amplification profile consisted of 1 cycle at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 33 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 52–60°C (annealing temperature depended on the specific primers) and 50 s at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated in 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Gels were then silver stained and photographed.

Disease evaluation

FHB evaluation

Type II FHB resistance evaluation was performed as described by Shen et al. (2004). Briefly, single-floret inoculation was conducted in a greenhouse for FHB type II disease evaluation of $F_{5:6}$ and $F_{6:7}$ in 2005 and 2006, respectively, at Purdue University (West Lafayette, IN, USA) and $F_{7:8}$ in 2008 at Shandong Agricultural University (Taian, China). The inoculum was a mungbean culture of the isolates of *F. graminearum*, with a concentration of $5-10 \times 10^4$ conidia/ml. At anthesis, the basal florets of the third or fourth spikelet from the tip of parental and RIL

spikes were each inoculated with 10 μ l of a conidial suspension, and the inoculated spikes were covered with transparent plastic bags for 72 h to maintain high humidity. 3 weeks after inoculation, ten plants of each RIL and parent lines were evaluated for FHB response in each experiment. The mean of the ten inoculated plants was calculated and recorded as disease severity measured as the number of diseased spikelets (NDS) in the inoculated spikes.

Leaf rust evaluation

Using the parents K11463, K2620 and Thatcher as controls, the population of RILs was evaluated for leaf rust disease in the adult growth stage against the Puccinia triticina isolate 09-10-2 (syn. 121 R63-1), the most virulent and predominant pathotype of P. triticina in southeast Asia. These experiments were conducted at Shandong Agricultural University (Taian, China). Adult plants (heading stage) were spray-inoculated with a suspension of conidia spores of P. triticina isolate 09-10-2 per ml dH₂0 plus 1 drop of Tween-20 at nightfall. 14 days after inoculation (growth condition: $24 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C, 90% relative humidity), the plants were scored for infection types (IT) according to Roelfs' (1984) methods. A scale ranging from 0 to 4 was used to describe the IT. IT values of 0 (fleck; immune), 1 (small uredinia with necrosis) and 2 (small uredinia with chlorosis) were considered as resistant, while IT values of 3 (medium-size uredinia with or without chlorosis) and 4 (large uredinia without chlorosis) were considered susceptible.

DNA marker analysis

We utilized the collinearity among homoeologous group 7 chromosomes to select markers that were mapped on wheat chromosomes 7A, 7B and 7D to map *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E. The selected markers include wheat G-SSR markers designated as GWM, GDM, WMC, BARC, CFD, CFA and PSP, and EST-derived markers, including CFE (Zhang et al. 2005), KSUM (Yu et al. 2004), SWES (Chen

et al. 2005), MAG (Xue et al. 2008), STS (Ayala-Navarrete et al. 2007) and CAPS (Shen and Ohm 2007). Relevant information about these markers has been published on the GrainGenes website (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov). In addition, seven primer pairs of EST-SSR and EST-STS markers mapped to *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E were designed based on the EST sequences in wheat homoeologous group 7 (Table 1).

Data analysis

The phenotypic data obtained from the leaf rust test in the RIL populations were subjected to Chi-square (χ^2) analysis to confirm the goodness-of-fit of observed ratios to theoretical expectations. Linkage analysis was performed using MAPMAKER/Exp version 3.0b (Lincoln et al. 1993). Map distances were determined using the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi 1944) and loci were ordered using the 'sequence' and 'compare' commands, with an LOD threshold score \geq 3.0 and the maximum distance allowed between markers set to 37.5.

QTL detection and statistical analysis

FHB QTL analysis was conducted using the software QTLNetwork version 2.0 (Yang et al. 2007) based on the mixed linear regression model, with a probability into and out of the model of 0.05 and window size set at 10 cM. Significant thresholds for QTL detection were calculated for each data set using 1,000 permutations and a genome-wide error rate of 0.10 (suggestive) and 0.05 (significant).

Results

Genetic linkage map of L. ponticum chromosome 7E

A total of 532 primer pairs from wheat homoeologous group 7 were screened, including G-SSRs, E-SSRs and STSs. Of these, 118 primers were polymorphic between parents and were further used to analyze the population.

Table 1	EST-SSR and EST-S	STS primers of	on wheat group 7	7 chromosomes	applied to	linkage ana	alysis of L.	ponticum chromoson	ne 7E
---------	-------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------	------------	-------------	--------------	--------------------	-------

Locus	Accession number	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Specificity	
XBE404744	BE404744	ACATGTTTGAAGCTGGCACA	GCGTTGACGATGACTTGTGT	7el ₁	
Xpsr680	Psr680	GCTCCACTACTTCATCATCC	TGTTTCCTCTATCACTGACTTG	$7el_1$ and $7el_2$	
XBE489982	BE489982	TTTGTTTCTTGGCTGGGTTTC	CCATTCGGCCTGCTTCG	$7el_1$ and $7el_2$	
XBG262436	BG262436	GGTTCACCACCAACACGG	CGAACGGCTTAGACTCCC	7el ₂	
XBE500495	BE500495	CCCCTCCCTTTCTTCGC	GGGCTGGTTCATTCCACTAA	7el ₂	
XHX2166	HX2166-1 (CJ579028)	CAGGGATGTCGGAGAAGA	GGTGACGACTCCTTTGAA	$7el_1$ and $7el_2$	
XHX33	HX33 (CJ664083)	GATGATAATGACTCCCTATG	AGAATCCCTTCTGCTGACC	7el ₂	

The linkage map of *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E was constructed with 64 markers, including 38 locus-derived markers from E-SSRs/E-STSs and 26 G-SSRs. The map covered a total length of 95.76 cM, with an average marker density of 1.47 cM per locus (Fig. 1). The location of the centromere was approximately determined between EST-SSR markers *Xcfe19* and *Xksum052* after scanning two substitution lines, K2620 (7el₂(7D)) and K11463 (7el₁(7D)), as well as the Robertsonian translocation line

Fig. 1 Genetic map of *Lophopyrum ponticum* chromosome 7E. The short arm of the chromosome is at the *top*. *Left* approximate distances in centi-Morgans (CM). *Right* molecular markers. The *letter X* in front of each marker locus name indicates a molecular marker with unknown function. Marker loci mapped with a LOD > 3 are integrated in the framework. The *vertical short bar* indicates the approximate location of the centromere

3.70	—			
0.05		Н		- Xwmc606
1.18	~	Η	\vdash	- Xwmc702
1.38	~		۲,	Xgwm44
2.18	~	H	\vdash	⁻ Xcfa2106
1.44	<u> </u>	H	\vdash	Xwmc653
2.97				Xwmc809
1 28		Н	-	- Xmaa2934
0.70	7		E-	- Xmaq2931
0.72	~			Xawm295
0.76	<u></u>			Xcfd31
0.47	17	Ħ	۲V	- Xbarc70
0.91	-/F	Ħ	₩	- Xgwm473
0.84	-/F		EW.	Xmag3283
0.44	-//E		Ħ١\\	- Xbarc154
0.65	-//E	H	ĦM	Xcfe100
0.7	-//F		=	Xgwm350
0.57	-//F-			- Vodm154
0.52			日系	- Xcfd66
0.45	1	F	FM	- Xcfa2174
0.77				Xcfd21
0.58]F	Ħ		- XBE489982
0.02				Xswes22
0.01				- Xwmc83
0.04	-	Н		Xcfe19
1.37				Xksum052
0.8	-F	H		Xcfd14
0.46	-E	F	FW	Xcfe202
0.71	-	F	ĦM	Xgwm333
0.92	-11			- Xswes157
1.05	-			- XSWES 130
0.75				- XBE399084
0.6	1			- VDE500405
4.23	1			- Xswes376
2.8	1			- Xawm130
0.2				Xswes375
0.16	_ -	H		XBE406148
0.52				- Xpsp3123
1				Xswes354
0.78	-			ХНХ33
5.57	4/_1	H	٦ľ	XBF200943
6.83	-1/-:		ΡŊ	Xpsr12 9
5.51	ᆀᆔ		\Box	XBE60519
1.85	-//_1	H		XBG262436
0.83	-//	H	١١/	- Xbaro76
1.9	-//	Η	١١/	- Xmaa1759
1.76	-11-	Η	١//	- Xwmc273
1.54	1/2		<u> </u>	Lr19
1.43	<u>] -</u>]		Π///	- XBE404744
1.22] -]///	XBM137749
0.17				XBE637476
1 56		Ħ	<i>۱</i> ///	XBE445506
1.74	-///		=	XBF483039
1.6	-//		∭,	XBG607810
0.8	-1/		//	XBF145935
1.6	-//	H	hΪ	Xpsr121
3.71	-//-	Η	Ь	XBE445653
1.74	1/1		\'	XHX2166
2.84	- /-	H		Xcfa2040
1.54	- 1	Η	$ \land$	Xmag1932
3.71				Xcta2240
	-	\vdash	-	Yewee10
		J	,	A3WES13

Ĥ

— Xgwm635

KS24-2 (7DS.7el₂L), using 7el₂-specific markers. The 7el₂-specific band (\sim 270 bp) amplified by *Xksum052* was present in both K2620 and KS24-2, while the 7el₂-specific band (\sim 300 bp) derived from *Xcfe19* was present in K2620 but not in KS24-2 (data not shown). 24 markers, including 17 G-SSR markers and 7 E-SSRs/E-STSs, were mapped on the short arm of chromosome 7E, and 40 markers consisting of 8 G-SSR markers and 32 E-SSRs/E-STSs were placed on the long arm of chromosome 7E.

Quantitative analysis of FHB resistance FhbLoP

The resistant parent, K2620, had consistently fewer NDS than the susceptible parent, K11463, and population data displayed a continuous distribution ranging from 0.5 to 13 and 0.8 to 12.5 at Indiana in 2005 and 2006, respectively, and 0.8 to 14 in 2008 at Shandong (Fig. 2). Estimated proportions of variance components relative to the phenotypic variances for FHB severity are summarized in Table 2. The values of kurtosis and skewness were less than 1 (<1) in the three environments, which suggested that the phenotype data could be utilized for OTL analysis. Approximately, 30.46% of the phenotypic variations were attributable to genotypic effects, 0.13% to G × E interaction effects, and 69.54% to residuals, indicating that FHB resistance was controlled by large residuals. The ratio $V_{\rm G}$ / $V_{\rm GE}$ was 234.3, suggesting that genotypic effects were more important than $G \times E$ interaction effects for this trait in the three environments. The ratio $V_{\rm G}/V_{\rm P}$, the estimated narrow sense heritability, was greater than 30.46% for FHB resistance. The small values of $V_{\text{GE}}/V_{\text{P}}$ for FHB resistance (Table 2) made the detection of QTL position with significant $QTL \times E$ effects quite difficult using this population.

One major QTL for FHB resistance was detected and mapped to the very distal region of the long arm of chromosome $7el_2$, flanked by *Xcfa2240* and *Xswes19* (Fig. 3), which contributed 30.46% to the phenotypic variation over the 3 years (Table 3). The consistent effectiveness of *FhbLoP* across environments indicates that this resistance gene is an effective genetic resource that could be used for improving type II FHB resistance in wheat breeding programs.

Markers linked to Lr19

Leaf rust inoculations showed that leaf rust-resistant parent, K11463, was highly resistant, displaying the fleck reaction (IT = 0), while the susceptible parent K2620 produced a susceptible phenotype (IT = 4). Out of 237 RIL plants, 108 were resistant (IT = 0) and 129 susceptible (IT = 4), which fit a monogenic segregation ratio of 1:1 (χ^2 = 1.861, *P* > 0.10). *Lr19* was flanked by *Xwmc273* **Fig. 2** Frequency distribution of FHB severity recorded as number of diseased spikelets



Table 2 Descriptive statistical values for FHB disease in the RIL population in three environments in 3 years

	Mean ^a	Min ^a	Max ^a	Kurtosis	Skewness	V_G/V_P^b	V_E/V_P^c	V_{GE}/V_P^d	V_e/V_P^e
2005 (Indiana)	5.94	0.50	13.00	-0.79	0.27	0.3068			0.6932
2006 (Indiana)	5.22	0.80	12.50	-0.90	0.36	0.3231			0.6769
2008 (Shandong)	5.88	0.80	14.00	-0.78	0.46	0.3014			0.6986
Mean	5.53	0.70	13.25	-0.84	0.41	0.3046	0.0123	0.0013	0.6954

^a Mean, Min and Max represents mean, minimum and maximum of NDS in the RIL population

 $^{b}~V_{G}/V_{P}$ represents the variance of genetic main effects divided by phenotypic variance

 $^{c}~V_{E}\!/V_{P}$ represents the variance of environmental effects divided by phenotypic variance

^d V_{GE}/V_P represents the variance of genotype-by-environment interaction effects divided by phenotypic variance

e Ve/VP represents the variance of residual effects divided by phenotypic variance

Fig. 3 Genotypic data from 237 RILs plants. Phenotypic data were derived from disease tests on $F_{5:6}$, $F_{6:7}$ and $F_{7:8}$ RIL populations with software QTLNetwork version 2.0. The *circle* represents *FhbLoP* position, and the *gray region* flanking the circle represents the support interval of QTL position



Table 3	Effects	of QTL	s for	FHB	disease	in	three	environments	in	3	years
---------	---------	--------	-------	-----	---------	----	-------	--------------	----	---	-------

Year/Environment	Flanking markers	Position	H ² (%)	P value	$A^a \times AE_1^b$		$A \times AE_2^c$		$A \times AE_3^d$	
					AE ₁	P value	AE ₂	P value	AE ₂	P value
2005 (Indiana)	Xcfa2240-Xswes19	92.1	30.68	0.00						
2006 (Indiana)	Xcfa2240-Xswes19	92.1	32.31	0.00						
2008 (Shandong)	Xcfa2240-Xswes19	92.1	30.14	0.00						
Multi-environments	Xcfa2240-Xswes19	92.1	30.46	0.00	-0.00	0.9917	0.00	0.9915	-0.00	0.9910

^a A the estimated additive effect

^b AE₁ the predicted additive by environment (2005 Indiana) interaction effect

^c AE₂ the predicted additive by environment (2006 Indiana) interaction effect

^d AE₃ the predicted additive by environment (2008 Shandong) interaction effect

and *XBE404744*, with genetic distances of 1.54 and 1.43 cM, respectively (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Genetic map of L. ponticum chromosome 7E

We report here the first genetic linkage map of chromosome 7E of L. ponticum, which was constructed using an RIL population derived from two Thatcher-L. ponticum substitution lines, K11463 (7el₁/7D) and K2620 (7el₂/7D). Dvorak (1975) first reported that the chromosomes of decaploid A. elongatum 7el1 and 7el2 were almost completely homologous, both chromosomes paired with high frequency ranging from 50 to 80% of the cells, and that the slight reduction in pairing may be due to extensive heterozygosity between these Agropyron chromosomes. Later, Knott et al. (1977) and Kibiridge-sebunya and Knott (1983) again found that the whole chromosomes 7el1 and 7el2 paired almost completely. Cai and Jones (1997) reported that L. ponticum carried strong promoters for homoeologous chromosome pairing. However, there was no direct evidence from our study that meiotic chromosome pairing between 7el1 and 7el2 was markedly influenced by meiotic pairing control genes. We have observed that 21 bivalents, including one alien bivalent, existed at metaphase I (MI) in pollen mother cells (PMCs) of RIL population F7:8 plants by genomic in situ hybridization (GISH), which confirms that chromosome pairing is stable in the RIL population. The genetic map of chromosome 7E covered a total length 95.76 cM with 64 molecular markers, indicating that the chromosomes of 7el1 and 7el2 undergo synapsis and recombination. The map length of chromosome 7E (95.76 cM) is shorter than the consensus maps of chromosome 7A (131 cM), 7B (151 cM) and 7D (154 cM) by Somers et al. (2004), as the average genetic distance between markers on chromosome 7E (1.47 cM) is smaller than those of 7A (2.1 cM), 7B (2.2 cM) and 7D (2.2 cM).

The development of a genetic map is prerequisite for gene isolation by map-based cloning and MAS (Peng et al. 2000). Importantly, our map of chromosome 7E is enriched in E-SSRs/STSs on the long arm (32 EST-SSRs/STSs in 40 markers). Holton et al. (2002) have reported that ESTs sharing homology to candidate genes can be specifically targeted for genetic mapping and can be useful for aligning genome linkage across distantly related species for comparative analysis.

FHB QTLs and FhbLoP

In recent decades, the combination of severe FHB epidemics in some principal wheat growing areas and

improved technology for detecting mycotoxins that pose food safety threats have contributed to a growing emphasis on FHB research (Buerstmayr et al. 2009). Bai and Shaner (1994) have reported that FHB resistance is under polygenic control and that the expression of plant resistance to the pathogen is strongly influenced by the environment. In light of this challenge, researchers have attempted to perform genetic analysis of FHB resistance through chromosomal manipulation (e.g., intervarietal genetic recombination) and via the mapping of QTL based on high-density genetic maps. Fhb1 and Fhb2 originated in the Asian cultivar Sumai 3 and are widely used for FHB resistance breeding. In contrast, other resources harboring QTLs for FHB resistance, such as the European cultivars Fundulea 201R (Shen et al. 2003) and Renan (Gervais et al. 2003) and the South America cultivars Frontana (Steiner et al. 2004) and Ernie (Liu et al. 2007), have not been extensively utilized. Exploiting resistance genes from species related to wheat is necessary to broaden the genetic pool of FHB resistance. The resistance gene FhbLoP on chromosome $7el_2$ of L. ponticum was reported by Shen et al. (2004) and Shen and Ohm (2007). However, the genetic distance between flanking markers XBE445653 and Xcfa2240 was more than 10 cM, due to the lack of informative markers. The present study finely mapped FhbLoP to an interval of just 3.71 cM between Xcfa2240 and Xswes19 on chromosome 7E. Utility of FhbLoP will impede by very low recombination between 7D and 7E. So, further studies will focus on developing wheat-Lophopyrum introgression by ph1b mutation and MAS to utilize FhbLoP QTL in wheat breeding.

Markers linked to Lr19

Several genes closely linked with Lr19 have been identified with RFLP markers, including Yp, Sr25, Sd1 and Wsp-D1 (Prins and Marais 1998). In the present study, nine markers were very closely linked to Lr19 and the mean interval length was only 1.74 cM/loci. These high-density markers could be used to verify other genes or traits around Lr19 and identify introgressed L. ponticum 7E segments in cultivated wheat. Since Lr19 is proximal to FhbLoP with several different transfers of Lr19 (Knott 1980), we expect most of the Lr19 sources to be terminal translocations, including Agatha-28 and Lr19-149 without Yp (Knott 1980; Prins et al. 1997). Therefore, it should be feasible to transfer FhbLoP to a wheat stock with Lr19 using these lines and the marker details found in our study. Furthermore, several cultivars from the Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maizy Trigo (CIMMYT) carrying Lr19 derived from L. ponticum would be useful for increasing grain yield and biomass (Reynolds et al. 2001; Monneveux et al. 2003). Some of the recombinant RILs should combine FhbLoP and Lr19 with high yield, which could be

used for marker-assisted introgression of these traits into elite cultivars of wheat. In addition, we are evaluating the phenotype of Sr25, which is closely linked with Lr19, using these RILs and by mapping Sr25 on chromosome 7E.

In summary, the construction of a genetic map of *L. ponticum* chromosome 7E is a starting point for exploiting desired genes from 7E in cultivated species. The closely linked markers facilitate pyramiding the resistance of *Fhb*-*LoP* and *Lr19* in wheat with MAS and provide an important resource for map-based cloning of these two genes.

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge financial support by the National Basic Research Program of China (973) (Grant No. 2009CB118301), Transgenic Special Item (Grants No. 2008ZX08002-004 and No. 2008ZX08009-003), NSF of China (Grant No. 30871514 and No. 31071405), NSF of Shandong (Grant No. Y2008D31), and USDA-ARS USWBSI (Grant No. 5902069081). We are also grateful to Dr. G. Shaner, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University and to Dr. Xiu'e Wang, Department of Agronomy, Nanjing Agricultural University, for providing isolates of *F. graminearum*, and to Drs. W. Chen and T. Liu, State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, for providing the *Puccinia triticina* isolate 09-10-2 (syn. 121 R63-1).

References

- Autrique E, Tanksley SD, Sorrells ME, Singh RP (1995) Molecular markers for four leaf rust resistance genes introgressed into wheat from wild relatives. Genome 38:75–83
- Ayala-Navarrete L, Bariana HS, Singh RP, Gibson JM, Mechanicos AA, Larkin PJ (2007) Trigenomic chromosomes by recombination of *Thinopyrum intermedium* and *Th. Ponticum* translocations in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 116:63–75
- Bai GH, Shaner G (1994) Scab of wheat: prospects for control. Plant Dis 78:760–766
- Buerstmayr H, Steiner B, Hartl L, Griesser M, Angerer N, Lengauer D, Miedaner T, Schneider B, Lemmens M (2003) Molecular mapping of QTLs for Fusarium head blight resistance in spring wheat. II. Resistance to fungal penetration and spread. Theor Appl Genet 107:503–508
- Buerstmayr H, Ban T, Anderson JA (2009) QTL mapping and marker-assisted selection for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat: a review. Plant Breed 128:1–26
- Cai X, Jones S (1997) Direct evidence for high level of autosyndetic pairing in hybrids of *Thinopyrum intermedium* and *Th. ponticum* with *Triticum aestivum*. Theor Appl Genet 95:568–572
- Chen Q, Conner RL, Laroche A, Thomas JB (1998) Genome analysis of *Thinopyrum intermedium* and *Thinopyrum ponticum* using genomic in situ hybridization. Genome 41:580–586
- Chen HM, Li LZ, Wei XY, Li SS, Lei TD, Hu HZ, Wang HG, Zhang XS (2005) Development, chromosome location and genetic mapping of EST-SSR markers in wheat. Chin Sci Bull 50:2328– 2336
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Thomas J, Cloutier S, Brule-Babel A (2006) Fine mapping *Fhb1*, a major gene controlling Fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 112:1465–1472
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Brule-Babel A (2007) Mapping of *Fhb2* on chromosome 6BS: a gene controlling Fusarium head blight field resistance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Theor Appl Genet 114:429–437

- Dvorak J (1975) Meiotic pairing between single chromosomes of diploid Agropyron elongatum and decaploid A. elongatum in Triticum aestivum. Can J Genet Cytol 17:329–336
- Gale M, Devos K (1998) Comparative genetics in the grasses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1971–1974
- Gennaro A, Koebner RMD, Ceoloni C (2009) A candidate for *Lr19*, an exotic gene conditioning leaf rust resistance in wheat. Funct Integr Genomics 9:325–334
- Gervais L, Dedryver F, Morlais JY, Bodusseau V, Negre S, Bilous M, Groos C, Trottet M (2003) Mapping of quantitative trait loci for field resistance to Fusarium head blight in an European winter wheat. Theor Appl Genet 106:961–970
- Gupta SK, Charpe A, Prabhu KV, Haque QMR (2006) Identification and validation of molecular markers linked to the leaf rust resistance gene *Lr19* in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 113:1027– 1036
- Holton TA, Christopher JT, McClure L, Harker N, Henry RJ (2002) Identification and mapping of polymorphic SSR markers from expressed gene sequences of barley and wheat. Mol Breed 9:63–71
- Kibiridge-sebunya I, Knott DR (1983) Transfer of stem rust resistance to wheat from an Agropyron chromosome having a gametocidal effect. Can J Genet Cytol 25:215–221
- Kim NS, Armstrong K, Knott DR (1993) Molecular detection of Lophopyrum chromatin in wheat-Lophopyrum recombinants and their use in the physical mapping of chromosome 7D. Theor Appl Genet 85:561–567
- Knott DR (1980) Mutation of a gene for yellow pigment linked to *Lr19* in wheat. Can J Genet Cytol 22:651–654
- Kong L, Cambron SE, Ohm HW (2008) Hessian fly resistance genes *H16* and *H17* are mapped to a resistance gene cluster in the distal region of chromosome 1AS in wheat. Mol Breed 21:183–194
- Knott DR, Dvorak J, Nanda JS (1977) The transfer to wheat and homoeology of an *Agropyron elongatum* chromosome carrying resistance to stem rust. Can J Genet Cytol 19:75–79
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. 12:172–175
- Lincoln SE, Daly MJ, Lander ES (1993) Constructing linkage maps with MAPMAKER/Exp version 3.0. A tutorial reference manual, 3rd edn. Whitehead Institute for Medical Res, Cambridge
- Liu S, Abate ZA, Lu H, Musket T, Davis GL, McKendry AL (2007) QTL associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in the soft red winter wheat Ernie. Theor Appl Genet 115:417–427
- McCallum BD, Seto-Goh P (2006) Physiologic specialization of *Puccinia triticina*, the causal agent of wheat leaf rust, in Canada in 2003. Can J Plant Pathol 28:208–213
- Mesterhazy A (1995) Types and components of resistance to Fusarium head blight of wheat. Plant Breed 114:377–386
- Monneveux P, Reynolds MP, Aguilar JG, Singh RP (2003) Effect of the 7DL.7Ag translocation from *Lophopyrum elongatum* on wheat yield and related morphological traits under different environments. Plant Breed 122:379–384
- Oliver RE, Xu SS, Stack RW, Friesen TL, Jin Y, Cai X (2006) Molecular cytogenetic characterization of four partial wheat-*Thinopyrum ponticum* amphiploids and their reactions to Fusarium head blight, tan spot, and *Stagonospora nodorum* blotch. Theor Appl Genet 112:1473–1479
- Parry DW, Jenkinson P, McLeod L (1995) Fusarium ear blight (scab) in small grain cereals—a review. Plant Pathol 44:207–238
- Peng JH, Korol AB, Fahima T, Röder MS, Ronin YI, Li YC, Nevo E (2000) Molecular genetic maps in wild emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*: genome-wide coverage, massive negative interference, and putative quasi-linkage. Genome Res 10:1509–1531
- Prins R, Marais GF (1998) An extended deletion map of the *Lr19* translocation and modified forms. Euphytica 103:95–102

- Prins R, Marais GF, Pretorius ZA, Janse BJH, Marais AS (1997) A study of modified forms of the *Lr19* translocation of common wheat. Theor Appl Genet 95:424–430
- Prins R, Groenewald JZ, Marais GF, Snape JW, Koebner RMD (2001) AFLP and STS tagging of *Lr19*, a gene conferring resistance to leaf rust in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 103:618–624
- Qi LL, Pumphrey MO, Friebe B, Chen PD, Gill BS (2008) Molecular cytogenetic characterization of alien introgressions with gene *Fhb3* for resistance to Fusarium head blight disease of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 117:1155–1166
- Reynolds MP, Calderini DF, Condon AG, Rajaram S (2001) Physiological basis of yield gains in wheat associated with the *Lr19* translocation from *Agropyron elongatum*. Euphytica 119:137–141
- Roelfs AP (1984) Race specificity and methods of study. In: Roelfs AP, Bushnell WR (eds) The cereal rusts vol I; origins specificity, structure and physiology. Academic Press, Orlando, pp 131–164
- Shen X, Ohm H (2007) Molecular mapping of *Thinopyrum*-derived Fusarium head blight resistance in common wheat. Mol Breed 20:131–140
- Shen X, Ittu M, Ohm H (2003) Quantitative trait loci conditioning resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat line F201R. Crop Sci 43:850–857
- Shen X, Kong L, Ohm H (2004) Fusarium head blight resistance in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)-Lophopyrum genetic lines and tagging of the alien chromatin by PCR markers. Theor Appl Genet 108:808–813
- Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Huerta-Espino J, Kinyua MG, Wanyera R, Njau P, Ward RW (2006) Current status, likely migration and strategies to mitigate the threat to wheat production from race Ug99 (TTKS) of stem rust pathogen. CAB reviews: perspectives

in agriculture, veterinary science. Nutrition and Natural Resources 1, No. 05

- Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Thero Appl Genet 109:1105–1114
- Sorrells ME, La Rota M, Bermudez-Kandianis CE, Greene RA, Kantety R, Munkvold JD et al (2003) Comparative DNA sequence analysis of wheat and rice genomics. Genome Res 13:1818–1827
- Steiner B, Lemmens M, Griesser M, Scholz U, Schondelmaier J, Buerstmayr H (2004) Molecular mapping of resistance to Fusarium head blight in the spring wheat cultivar Frontana. Theor Appl Genet 109:215–224
- Xue SL, Zhang ZZ, Lin F, Kong ZX, Cao Y, Li CJ, Yi HY, Mei MF, Zhu HL, Wu JZ, Xu HB, Zhao DM, Tian DG, Zhang CQ, Ma ZQ (2008) A high-density intervarietal map of the wheat genome enriched with markers derived from expressed sequence tags. Theor Appl Genet 117:181–189
- Yang J, Zhu J, Williams RW (2007) Mapping the genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations. Bioinformatics 23:1527–1536
- Yu JK, Dake TM, Singh S, Benscher D, Li W, Gill B, Sorrells ME (2004) Development and mapping of EST-derived simple sequence repeat markers for hexaploid wheat. Genome 47:805–818
- Zhang XY, Dong YS, Wang RR-C (1996) Characterization of genomes and chromosomes in partial amphiploids of the hybrids *Triticum aestivum × Thinopyrum ponticum* by in situ hybridization, isozyme analysis, and RAPD. Genome 9:1062–1071
- Zhang LY, Bernar DM, Leroy P, Feuillet C, Sourdille P (2005) High transferability of bread wheat EST-derived SSRs to other cereals. Theor Appl Genet 111:677–687